

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 ANTHONY D. HILL,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 CITY OF DES MOINES, et al.,

14 Defendants.

CASE NO. C17-0087JLR


ORDER

15 On March 23, 2017, the court dismissed *pro se* Plaintiff Anthony D. Hill's first
16 amended complaint without prejudice and granted him until April 12, 2017, to amend his
17 complaint. (3/23/17 Order (Dkt. # 26).) The court found that Mr. Hill's factual
18 allegations failed to state a claim for violation of his constitutional rights. (*Id.* at 6-8
19 (concluding that even after liberally construing Mr. Hill's amended complaint, Mr. Hill
20 failed to state a claim for false arrest or deliberate indifference).) Despite these
21 deficiencies and in light of Mr. Hill's *pro se* status, the court granted Mr. Hill until April
22

1 12, 2017, to amend his complaint. (*Id.* at 10.) The court cautioned Mr. Hill that his
2 failure to timely amend the complaint or remedy the deficiencies identified in the court's
3 order would result in dismissal without leave to amend. (*Id.* at 9.)

4 Mr. Hill's deadline to file a second amended complaint passed on April 12, 2017,
5 and he has filed nothing further in this matter. (*See* Dkt.) Accordingly, the court
6 DISMISSES this case WITH PREJUDICE.

7 Dated this 13th day of April, 2017.

8
9
10 

11 JAMES L. ROBERT
12 United States District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22